

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/456,897	12/08/1999	KEITH THOMAS AHERN	PHA-23.778	7056
7:	590 10/14/2003		EXAM	INER
CORPORATE PATENT COUNSEL			YUAN, ALMARI ROMERO	
U S PHILIPS O 580 WHITE PI	CORPORATION AINS ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TARRYTOWN, NY 10591 2176				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·					
V	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/456,897	AHERN, KEITH THON	MAS		
	Examiner	Art Unit	-		
	William L. Bashore	2176			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ss		
THE REPLY FILED 9/23/2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of this application and the same of the s	cation. A proper reply ich places the applica	y to a ition in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Is sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See 136(a) and the appropriate e e fee. The appropriate exten the final Office action; or (2)	e MPEP extension fee nsion fee under b) as set forth in		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	,		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note to	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or sir	nplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims	S.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT	Fplace the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	enewly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			nd an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>16-26</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>27-33</u> .					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme 10. Other:		SZEPHEN SHEZ PRIMARY EXAMINUA	<i>→</i> }		

¹ C ntinuati n Sh t (PTOL-303) 09/456,897

Continuation of 5. does NOT place th application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding Applicant's traversal of examiners restriction requirement on pages 6-7 of the amendment, it is respectfullu submitted that independent claim 16 do s not recite "encoding", or "parsing", but rather claims production of a document involving script and location coordinates, which are directed to document production/rendering (715/526). Independent claim 27 specifically claims "encoding" of a document utilizing parsing of content, which is directed towards document encoding and conversion (715/523). For at least the above reasons, the examiner's requirement for restriction is maintained, and claims 27-33 remain withdrawn from consideration.

Applicant argues on page 7-9 of the amendment that the cited references do not teach the instant claimed limitations. It is respectfully noted that Freund teaches text portions of visible text, Business Wire teaches script portions exclusive of text (invisible characters), and Powers teaches location parameter identifiers/coordinates (see also previous Office Action).